UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,116	03/04/2008	Jacob Guth	034547-0118	2271	
	7590 02/25/201 [.] LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			GREENE, IVAN A		
			PAPER NUMBER		
			1619		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/596,1	6	GUTH ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		IVAN GRE	ENE	1619	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun. r Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply exply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evalunication. atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>31 May 2006</i> .			
·	•	 2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction	·	•		e merits is
Dispositi	on of Claims	,			
5) 6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) ction to the drawing(s) b the correction is requir	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	` ,
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ <i>a</i>)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pnation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/08/2006</u> .	TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims

Claims 1-8 are currently pending and are presented for examination on the merits.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements submitted on 08/08/2006 was/were filed before the mailing date of the first office action on the merits. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the Examiner.

Priority

The U.S. effective filing date has been determined to be 04/05/2004, the filing date of the document PCT/EP05/03501. The foreign priority date has been determined to be EPO 04016057.4, the filing date of document 07/08/2004.

Objections

1. Claims 5-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/596,116 Page 3

Art Unit: 1619

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by LORANT (US 6,465,402).

Disclosure of the Prior Art in view of the Claims

LORANT discloses an oil-in-water emulsion cream as follows (col. 12):

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/596,116

Art Unit: 1619

15

Example 2

Care Cream

)	Aqueous phase:	
	Sepigel 305 (sold by the company Seppic)	2%
	Preservatives	0.4%
	Glycerol	3%
	Demineralized water	q.s. for 100%
5	Oily phase:	
	Volatile silicone oil (cyclohexasiloxane)	7%
	Apricot oil	5%
	KSG 21 (containing 28% of active material)	5%
	(i.e. 1.4% of active material)	

Procedure: The aqueous phase is prepared by dispersing, with stirring, the Sepigel 305 in the water containing the preservatives and the glycerol. The KSG 21 is then dispersed in the liquid oily phase and emulsification is subsequently carried out by dispersing, with vigorous stirring, the mixture obtained in the aqueous phase.

A slightly translucent cream is obtained which is very soft and fresh on the skin.

The above example comprises the vegetable oil, apricot oil, and 77.6% water. The apricot oil would have been expected to comprise vegetable oil bodies because the oil is derived from apricot oil seeds which inherently comprise vegetable oil bodies.

Furthermore, the limitation --washed vegetable oil bodies-- is considered a product-by-process limitation. And the patentability of a product does not depend on its

method of production. If the product in the product-by-process limitation is the same as from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." See MPEP § 2113.

Regarding the limitation that processing temperature does not exceed about 40°C, the process described by LORANT includes only stirring the ingredients together and does not disclose any heating step. And because ambient room temperature would have been expected to be less than 40°C the limitation is met.

The instant specification does not expressly define lotion or cream. In view of the thesaurus (thesaurus.com) entry for lotion, claim 3 is being read as substantially synonymous and is rejected.

Double Patenting

2. Applicant is advised that should claim 3 be found allowable, claim 4 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following U.S. patent documents are cited for applicant's consideration: SENYEI (US 4,357,259); LEONG (US 5,269,166); MIDLER (US

Application/Control Number: 10/596,116 Page 6

Art Unit: 1619

4,073,743); RIBIER (US 5,753,241); WILLIAMS (US 6,638,981); SIMONNET (US 6,120,778); GOLINSKI (US 6,528,045); and TAMARKIN (US 2006/0233721).

Claims 1-8 are pending and have been presented for examination on the merits.

Claims 5-8 have been objected to and not further treated on the merits; and Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). No claims allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IVAN GREENE whose telephone number is (571)270-5868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bonnie Eyler can be reached on (571) 272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 7 Application/Control Number: 10/596,116

Art Unit: 1619

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1619 Examiner, Art Unit 1619